

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/550,584	SHINOZAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vinod Kumar	1638

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(1) Vinod Kumar.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Oleg Ioselevich (Reg. No. 41,240).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 22 August 2007

**Time:** 11 am

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

1-6, 10-13, 20-21

Prior art documents discussed:


*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)